

The Double Dixie Cup Problem Author(s): Donald J. Newman

Source: The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 67, No. 1 (Jan., 1960), pp. 58-61

Published by: Mathematical Association of America Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2308930

Accessed: 02/12/2010 09:31

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=maa.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Mathematical Association of America is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to The American Mathematical Monthly.

THE DOUBLE DIXIE CUP PROBLEM

DONALD J. NEWMAN, Brown University, AND LAWRENCE SHEPP, Princeton University

The familiar childhood occupation of obtaining a complete set of pictures of baseball players, movie stars, etc., which appear on the covers of dixie cups raises some interesting questions. One, which has already been answered, is the "single dixie cup problem," that of determining the expected number, E(n), of dixie cups which must be purchased before a complete set of n pictures is obtained: $E(n) = n(1+1/2+\cdots+1/n)$ ([1] p. 213).

Some time ago W. Weissblum asked how long, on the average, it would take to obtain two complete sets of n pictures. This corresponds to the situation observed when two tots collect cooperatively, *i.e.*, "trading" takes place.

This "double dixie cup" problem cannot be handled by the same device used for the problem of the single set and in this paper we find a new method which allows us to write down the solution, $E_m(n)$, (as an easily evaluated definite integral) for the problem of collecting m sets.

For m fixed and n large the expected number of dixie cups turns out to be $n(\log n + (m-1) \log \log n + o(1))$. Thus, although the first set "costs" $n \log n$, all further sets cost $n \log \log n$.

Suppose m sets are desired. Let p_i be the probability of failure of obtaining m sets up to and including the purchase of the ith dixie cup. Then the expected number of dixie cups $E_m(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i$, by a well-known argument ([1] p. 211). Now $p_i = N_i/n^i$ where N_i is the number of ways that the purchase of i dixie cups can fail to yield m copies of each of the n pictures in the set. If we represent the pictures by x_1, \dots, x_n , then N_i is simply $(x_1 + \dots + x_n)^i$ expanded and evaluated at $(1, \dots, 1)$ after all the terms have been removed which have each exponent for each variable larger than m-1.

Now consider m fixed and introduce the following notation. If $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a polynomial or power series we define $\{P(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$ to be the polynomial, or series, resulting when all terms having all exponents $\geq m$ have been removed. In terms of this notation p_i is $\{(x_1 + \dots + x_n)^i\}/n^i$ evaluated at $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 1$.

If we now make the definition

$$S_m(t) = \sum_{k < m} \frac{t^k}{k!}$$

and consider the expression

(2)
$$F = \exp (x_1 + \cdots + x_n) - (e^{x_1} - S_m(x_1)) \cdot \cdots \cdot (e^{x_n} - S_m(x_n)),$$

it is easily seen that F has no terms with all exponents $\geq m$; but F does not have all terms of $\exp(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)$ with at least one exponent $\leq m$. We conclude that

(3)
$$F = \{ \exp(x_1 + \cdots + x_n) \} = \sum \{ (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^i \} / i!.$$

By contrast, we have seen that

(4)
$$E_m(n) = \sum p_i = \sum \{(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^i\}/n^i$$

at $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 1$, and so all we need now is a method for replacing 1/i! by $1/n^i$. This is afforded by the identity

$$n\int_0^\infty \frac{t^i}{i!}e^{-nt}dt = \frac{1}{n^i}$$

and the result is

(5)
$$n \int_0^\infty \left[\exp\left(x_1 + \cdots + x_n\right)^t - \left(e^{x_1 t} - S_m(tx_1)\right) \cdots \left(e^{x_n t} - S_m(tx_n)\right] e^{-nt} dt.$$

Setting $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 1$, finally, gives, by (4) and (5),

Theorem 1.
$$n \int_0^\infty \left[1 - (1 - S_m(t)e^{-t})^n \right] dt = E_m(n)$$
.

This is the solution and it is readily integrable for small m and n. It is perhaps worthwhile to mention that if only a particular k of the pictures are desired the expected number is:

$$n\int_0^{\infty} 1 - \left(1 - e^{-t}\left(1 + t \cdot \cdot \cdot + \frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!}\right)\right)^k dt.$$

This may also be easily generalized to the case where m_k copies of the kth pictures are desired.

For large m, by the law of large numbers, the number required is asymptotic to mn. It remains to obtain the asymptotic form for large n.

We now prove

THEOREM 2. $E_m(n) = n [\log n + (m-1) \log \log n + C_m + o(1)]$ for m fixed and $n \to \infty$.

It suffices to prove that

$$\frac{E_m(n+1)}{n+1} - \frac{E_m(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{m-1}{n \log n} + \lambda_n,$$

where $\sum |\lambda_n| < \infty$.

Now, by Theorem 1,

$$\frac{E_m(n+1)}{n+1} - \frac{E_m(n)}{n} = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} S_m(t) [1 - e^{-t} S_m(t)]^n dt$$

and, changing variables by

$$(6) 1 - e^{-t}S_m(t) = x,$$

we have $dx = e^{-t}[(t^{m-1})/(m-1)!]dt$ and so the above is equal to

$$(7) \int_0^1 x^n S_m(t) \frac{(m-1)!}{t^{m-1}} dx = \int_0^1 x^n \left[1 + \frac{m-1}{t} + \frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{t^2} + \cdots \right] dx.$$

[t=t(x)] is of course defined by (6).

We now show that

(A) For
$$1 < k < m$$
, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x^{n}}{t^{k}} dx < \infty$;

(B)
$$\int_0^1 \frac{x^n}{t} dx = \frac{1}{n \log n} + \alpha n, \text{ where } \sum |\alpha n| < \infty;$$

and, by (7), these will suffice to prove our theorem.

Proof of (A). By (6) we have $x=1-e^{-t}S_m(t) \le 1-e^{-t}$ so that,

$$(8) t \ge \log \frac{1}{1-x}.$$

On the other hand

$$x = 1 - e^{-t}S_m(t) = \int_0^t e^{-u} \frac{u^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} du \le \int_0^t u^{m-1} du \le t^m,$$

so that

$$(9) t \ge x^{1/n}.$$

Now, the infinite series given in (A) is equal to

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-x} \frac{dx}{t^k} = \int_0^{1/2} \frac{1}{1-x} \frac{dx}{t^k} + \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{1}{1-x} \frac{dx}{t^k}.$$

The first of the integrals on the right is finite by virtue of (9) and the fact that k < m, while the second integral is finite by (8) and the fact that k > 1. This proves (A).

Proof of (B). By (8) we obtain

$$t \geq x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \cdots + \frac{x^r}{r} \geq x^r \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{r} \right] \geq x^r \log r,$$

and so

(10)
$$\int_0^1 \frac{x^n}{t} dx \le \int_0^1 \frac{x^{n-r}}{\log r} dx = \frac{1}{(n-r+1)\log r}.$$

Now let $u \ge 1$ be a parameter and set

(11)
$$a = 1 - S_m(u)e^{-u}.$$

We have

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{x^{n}}{t} dx \ge \int_{0}^{a} \frac{x^{n}}{t} dx \ge \frac{1}{u} \int_{0}^{a} x^{n} dx$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{u} \left[\int_{0}^{1} x^{n} dx - \int_{a}^{1} dx \right] = \frac{1}{(n+1)u} - \frac{S_{m}(u)e^{-u}}{u}$$
 by (11).

Now note that by (1) and the fact that $u \ge 1$, we obtain $S_m(u) \le eu^{m-1}$. Combining this with the previous inequality gives

(12)
$$\int_0^1 \frac{x^n}{t} dx \ge \frac{1}{(n+1)u} - u^{m-2}e^{1-u}.$$

If we now set $r = [n/\log n]$ in (10) and $u = \log n + m \log \log n$ in (12) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n \log n} - \frac{C \log \log n}{n \log^2 n} \le \int_0^1 \frac{x^n}{t} dx \le \frac{1}{n \log n} + \frac{C \log \log n}{n \log^2 n},$$

and this completes the proof since $\sum (\log \log n)/(n \log^2 n) < \infty$.

Reference

1. W. Feller, Introduction to Probability Theory, vol. I, New York, 1950.

THE ANTICENTER OF A GROUP

NORMAN LEVINE, University of Pittsburgh

In contrast to the center of a group G we will define the rim of G, denoted by R(G), to be the set of elements of G which permute with no elements of G except in trivial cases. We will define the anticenter of G, denoted by AC(G), as the set of products of elements in R(G). More precisely:

DEFINITION 1. $R(G) \equiv \{a \mid ab = ba \text{ implies } \exists c \in G \text{ such that } a = c^i, b = c^i \text{ for some integers } i \text{ and } j.\}$

DEFINITION 2. $AC(G) \equiv \{a_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot a_n | a_i \in R(G).\}$

LEMMA 1. The identity e of G belongs to R(G).

Proof. eb = be implies $e = b^0$, $b = b^1$.

LEMMA 2. If $a \in R(G)$ then $a^{-1} \in R(G)$.

Proof. Suppose $a^{-1}b = ba^{-1}$. Then ab = ba and hence $a = c^i$ and $b = c^j$ or $b = c^j$ and $a^{-1} = c^{-i}$.

LEMMA 3. If $a \in R(G)$ then $b^{-1}ab \in R(G)$ for all $b \in G$.

Proof. Let $b^{-1}abx = xb^{-1}ab$ for some x in G. Then $abxb^{-1} = bxb^{-1}a$. Hence $a = c^i$ and $bxb^{-1} = c^i$ or $b^{-1}ab = b^{-1}c^ib = (b^{-1}cb)^i$ and $x = b^{-1}c^ib = (b^{-1}cb)^j$. This proves $b^{-1}ab \in R(G)$.